

Message

From: Gaylord, Brent [Gaylord.Brent@epa.gov]
Sent: 7/6/2021 6:40:53 PM
To: Fleisig, Erica [Fleisig.Erica@epa.gov]; Wilcut, Lars [Wilcut.Lars@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: States Adopting Bacteria Criteria Subsequent to 2012 (other than NY)

No worries! This works for my purpose.

Brent Gaylord
U.S. EPA Region 2
Water Quality Standards Coordinator
Clean Water Regulatory Branch
290 Broadway, 24th Fl.
New York, NY 10007-1866
(212)637-3868

CONTRACTORS: Nothing in this message shall be construed as a change to the price, delivery or terms and conditions of the contract.

From: Fleisig, Erica <Fleisig.Erica@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 06, 2021 2:37 PM
To: Gaylord, Brent <Gaylord.Brent@epa.gov>; Wilcut, Lars <Wilcut.Lars@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: States Adopting Bacteria Criteria Subsequent to 2012 (other than NY)

MA might be fixing that in their submission that has been scheduled to come in any day now since last Fall but I can't remember 100%. Lars caveated that this table might not be perfect 😊

Erica Fleisig
Team Leader, Regional Water Quality Standards Branch
Office of Science and Technology, U.S. EPA
(202) 566-1057

From: Gaylord, Brent <Gaylord.Brent@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 6, 2021 2:36 PM
To: Wilcut, Lars <Wilcut.Lars@epa.gov>; Fleisig, Erica <Fleisig.Erica@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: States Adopting Bacteria Criteria Subsequent to 2012 (other than NY)

Gotcha.

Thanks!

And you can add the Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe to the list. They have only freshwaters and E. Coli 126/410 approved in 2019.

Brent Gaylord
U.S. EPA Region 2
Water Quality Standards Coordinator
Clean Water Regulatory Branch
290 Broadway, 24th Fl.

New York, NY 10007-1866
(212)637-3868

CONTRACTORS: Nothing in this message shall be construed as a change to the price, delivery or terms and conditions of the contract.

From: Wilcut, Lars <Wilcut.Lars@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 06, 2021 2:26 PM
To: Gaylord, Brent <Gaylord.Brent@epa.gov>; Fleisig, Erica <Fleisig.Erica@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: States Adopting Bacteria Criteria Subsequent to 2012 (other than NY)

Massachusetts adopted the right numbers a long time ago, but they have a 6-month duration. Otherwise they're fine.

From: Gaylord, Brent <Gaylord.Brent@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 06, 2021 2:24 PM
To: Wilcut, Lars <Wilcut.Lars@epa.gov>; Fleisig, Erica <Fleisig.Erica@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: States Adopting Bacteria Criteria Subsequent to 2012 (other than NY)

I am actually very surprised that there aren't more states. Massachusetts isn't one of them??

Brent Gaylord
U.S. EPA Region 2
Water Quality Standards Coordinator
Clean Water Regulatory Branch
290 Broadway, 24th Fl.
New York, NY 10007-1866
(212)637-3868

CONTRACTORS: Nothing in this message shall be construed as a change to the price, delivery or terms and conditions of the contract.

From: Wilcut, Lars <Wilcut.Lars@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 06, 2021 2:21 PM
To: Gaylord, Brent <Gaylord.Brent@epa.gov>; Fleisig, Erica <Fleisig.Erica@epa.gov>
Subject: States Adopting Bacteria Criteria Subsequent to 2012 (other than NY)

Based on my quick read of a messy spreadsheet:

State	Freshwater		Marine Water		Date Adopted	Notes
	GM	STV	GM	STV		
Alabama	126	235	35	104	7/17	
Alaska	126	410	35	130	5/17	
American Samoa	126	410	35	130	8/14	
California	(values and indicators vary)				3/19	
Delaware	100	185	35	104	12/17	Enterococci for all waters
Florida	126	410	35	130	7/17	
Georgia	126	410	35	130	8/16	
Grand Portage Band	126	410			7/18	
Guam	126	410	35	130	3/18	
Hawaii	35	130	35	130	5/15	Enterococci for all waters

Kentucky	130	240			6/20	
Louisiana		400	35	130	9/16	No GM in freshwater
Maine	64	236	14	94	8/20	
Maryland	126	410	35	130	7/18	
Minnesota	126	235			11/15	
Mississippi	126	410	35	130	1/17	
Nevada	126	410			2/21	
North Carolina	200	400	35	104	4/20	Fecal for freshwater
CNMI	126	410	35	130	5/15	
Ohio	126	410			4/16	
Oregon	126	406	35	130	11/17	
Pennsylvania	126	410			3/21	
Puerto Rico			35	130	5/16	
South Carolina	126	349	35	104	4/21	
South Dakota	126	235			9/17	
Swinomish Tribe	100	320			8/17	
Vermont	126	235			9/15	
USVI	30	110			5/16	
Virginia	126	410	35	104	10/19	
Washington	100	320	30	110	4/19	
Wisconsin	126	410			6/20	

E. coli for freshwater and enterococci for marine unless noted.

California and Louisiana revised some of their bacteria criteria but neither would be considered equivalent to the 2012 criteria.

Arizona, Bad River Band, Iowa, Makah Tribe, Michigan, New Jersey, North Dakota all adopted bacteria criteria prior to the 2012 recommendations but all have criteria that could be considered equivalent.